Inorganic Chemistry

Syntheses and Characterizations of Linear Triborazanes

William C. Ewing, Patrick J. Carroll, and Larry G. Sneddon*

Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Penns[ylv](#page-6-0)ania 19104-6323, United States

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-6-0)STRACT: [Reaction of th](#page-6-0)e amine boranes $NH_2(R)BH_3$, where R = H, Me, and Bz, with $\frac{1}{3}$ equiv of sodium hexamethyldisilazane produced the fivemembered, linear aminoborane anions $\mathrm{Na}^+[\mathrm{BH}_3\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{R})\mathrm{HBH}_2\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{R})\mathrm{HBH}_3^{-}]$, where $R = H (1)$, Me (1Me), and benzyl (1Bz). Reactions of 1 and 1Me with ammonium chloride and methylammonium chloride, respectively, resulted in elimination of NaCl and H_2 to produce the linear triborazanes $BH_3(RNHBH_2)_2N(R)H_2$, where R = H (2) and Me (2Me), with the structure of 2 crystallographically confirmed. The reactions of 1 and 1Me with pyridine− HCl produced the pyridine-capped aminoboranes $H_3B(RNHBH_2)_2(NC_5H_5)$, where R = H (3) and Me (3Me). 2 and 2Me proved to be stable up to 90 $^{\circ}$ C

but produced a mixture of products when heated above 90 °C. 2 was selectively monochlorinated at the terminal boron when treated with 1 equiv of HCl and dichlorinated when reacted with a second 1 equiv of HCl.

■ INTRODUCTION

The growth of aminoborane oligomers through the dehydrocoupling reactions of ammonia borane (AB, NH_3BH_3) has been a topic of recent research owing to the interest in amine boranes as potential hydrogen storage materials and/or chemical precursors to boron nitride ceramics.¹ While longchain oligomers and polymers have been shown to be products of metal-cata[ly](#page-6-0)zed amine borane reactions, λ only a few small linear oligomers have been characterized, including the fourmembered parent diborazane $NH_3BH_2NH_2BH_3^3$ and its Nmethyl-, N,N-dimethyl-, and N,N- $(1,4-C_4H_8)$ diborazane derivativ[es](#page-6-0).⁴ We also recently reported⁵ the syntheses of the fivemembered, linear anionic chain $[\text{BH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_2\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_3^{-1}]$ (1) and [b](#page-6-0)oth linear $\left[\text{BH}_{3}\text{NH}_{2}\text{BH}_{2}\text{NH}_{2}\text{BH}_{2}\text{NH}_{3}^{-}\right]$ and branched $[\mathrm{HB}(\mathrm{NH_2BH_3})_3^-]$ seven-membered chains via anionic AB chain growth initiated by deprotonation with Verkade's base (VB) ⁶ Herein, we report a greatly improved route to the 1 anion, as well as to its N-methylated and Nbenzylated derivatives $\text{Na}^+[BH_3N(R)HBH_2N(R)HBH_3^-]$ $(R =$ CH₃, 1Me; R = CH₂C₆H₅, 1Bz). We further demonstrate that 1 and 1Me can be readily converted to the first examples of linear triborazanes $BH_3(RNHBH_2)_2N(R)H_2$, where R = H (2) and Me (2Me), by their reactions with ammonium and methyl ammonium chloride, respectively.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions and manipulations were performed in dry glassware under a nitrogen or argon atmosphere using the high-vacuum or inert-atmosphere techniques described by Shriver and Drezdzon.⁷

Materials. Ammonia borane (AB; Aviabor, 97% minimum purity) was ground into a fr[ee](#page-6-0)-flowing powder using a commercial coffee grinder. Sodium hexamethyldisilazane (NaHMDS; 0.6 M in toluene), BH₃−tetrahydrofuran (THF) (1 M in THF), methylamine (2 M in THF), HCl (1 M in diethyl ether), and benzylamine were used as received (Sigma-Aldrich). Methylamine borane and benzylamine

borane were prepared by amine displacement from BH₃−THF with their purities confirmed by ¹ H NMR. Pyridine−HCl and all ammonium chloride salts (Sigma-Aldrich) were dried in vacuo with heating prior to use. Fluorobenzene (Sigma-Aldrich) and acetonitrile (Fisher) were dried over $CaH₂$ and distilled prior to use. Glyme (1,2dimethoxyethane) and THF (Fisher) were dried over sodium/ benzophenone and distilled prior to use. Toluene and diethyl ether (Fisher) were HPLC-grade and were used as received.

Physical Methods. The ^{11}B NMR spectra at 128.4 MHz and ^{1}H NMR spectra at 400.1 MHz were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 spectrometer equipped with appropriate decoupling accessories. All ¹¹B chemical shifts are referenced to external $BF_3 \cdot O(C_2H_5)_2$ (0.0 ppm), with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All ¹H chemical shifts were measured relative to internal residual protons in the lock solvents and are referenced to $Me₄Si$ (0.0 ppm). Elemental microanalysis of many of the very hydroscopic products proved difficult; however, satisfactory elemental analyses of 2Me and 1Bz were obtained at the MicroAnalytical Facility at University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, CA. Thermal analysis was carried out on a TA Instruments SDT model 2960 instrument capable of simultaneous differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermal gravimetry (TGA) measurements.

 $\textsf{Na}^+\textsf{[BH}_3\textsf{NH}_2\textsf{BH}_2\textsf{NH}_2\textsf{BH}_3^-]$ (1). NaHMDS (11.0 mmol) was added to a suspension of AB (1.02 g, 33.0 mmol) in fluorobenzene (30 mL) and the mixture stirred at 50 °C for 24 h. The solution was next diluted with 30 mL of toluene and frit-filtered. The retained solid was washed with toluene (2 × \sim 20 mL) and diethyl ether (3 × \sim 20 mL) and then dried in vacuo. The ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra of the resultant white solid (1.03 g, 10.8 mmol, 96%) were consistent with the literature values for $[\text{BH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_2\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_3^{-}]$.⁵

 $\textsf{Na}^+[\textsf{H}_3\textsf{BN}(\textsf{CH}_3)\textsf{HBH}_2\textsf{N}(\textsf{CH}_3)\textsf{HBH}_3^-]$ (1Me). NaHMDS (11.1 mmol) was added to a suspension of methylam[in](#page-6-0)e borane (1.50 g, 33.4 mmol) in fluorobenzene (30 mL) and the mixture stirred at 60 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h. In a nitrogen-filled glovebag, the solution was diluted with 30 mL of toluene and frit-filtered. The retained solid was washed with

Received: July 17, 2013 Published: August 26, 2013 toluene (2 × ∼20 mL) and diethyl ether (3 × ∼20 mL) and then dried in vacuo. Yield: 1.03 g (10.8 mmol, 96%). ¹¹B NMR (THF- d_8 , ppm, J in Hz): -3.3 (t, $J = 98$, $BH₂$), -18.2 (q, $J = 87$, $2BH₃$). Density functional theory (DFT)/gage invariant atomic orbital (GIAO) calculated $[B3LYP/6-311G(d)]$ ¹¹B NMR shifts: S,R isomer, -5.6, −20.0, −23.4 ppm; R,R isomer, −5.7, −19.1, −19.1 ppm. ¹ H{11B} NMR (THF- d_8 , ppm, J in Hz): 2.60 (s, 2NH₂), 2.11 (d, J = 6, 2CH₃), 1.62/1.35 (q, $J = 4$, $BH₂$, diastereomers), 1.22 (d, $J = 3$, $BH₃$).

 $\textsf{Na}^+\textsf{[H}_3\textsf{BN}(\textsf{CH}_2\textsf{C}_6\textsf{H}_5)\textsf{HBH}_2\textsf{N}(\textsf{CH}_2\textsf{C}_6\textsf{H}_5)\textsf{HBH}_3^{-1}$ (1Bz). NaHMDS (0.9 mmol) was added to a suspension of benzylamine borane (0.32 g, 2.6 mmol) in fluorobenzene (20 mL) and the mixture stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. In a nitrogen-filled glovebag, the solution was diluted with toluene (∼20 mL) and frit-filtered. The retained solid was washed with toluene (2 × 15 mL) and cold diethyl ether (3 × ~15 mL) and then dried in vacuo for 12 h. Yield: 0.12 g (0.4 mmol, 49%). Anal. Calcd: C, 60.97; H, 8.77; N, 10.16. Found: C, 59.62; H, 8.89; N, 9.94. 11B NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): -2.6 (t, J = 89, BH₂), -17.2 (q, J = 92, 2BH₃). DFT/GIAO-calculated [B3LYP/6-311G(d)] $^{11}{\rm B}$ NMR shifts: S,R isomer, −10.0, −17.4, −24.4 ppm; R,R isomer, −13.3, −20.0, −20.0 ppm. ¹H{¹¹B} NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): 7.28 (m, $2CH_2C_6H_5$), 3.49/3.40 (m, $2CH_2C_6H_5$, diastereomers), 2.52 (s, 2NH), 1.85/1.77 (s, $BH₂$, diastereomers), 1.24 (d, J = 3, 2BH₃).

Attempted Synthesis of $Na^+[H_3BN(CH_3)_2BH_2N(CH_3)_2BH_3^-]$. NaHMDS (1.4 mmol) was added to a suspension of dimethylamine borane (0.25 g, 4.2 mmol) in fluorobenzene (20 mL) and the mixture stirred at 60 \degree C for 12 h. Analysis by ¹¹B NMR indicated no formation of oligomeric products but instead showed a large peak near 28 ppm.

 $BH_3(NH_2BH_2)_2NH_3$ (2). NH₄Cl (0.78 g, 14.6 mmol) was added to a solution of 1 (1.36 g, 14.3 mmol) in glyme (30 mL) and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The solution was then filtered and the retained solid washed with glyme (4 \times ~20 mL). The filtrate was vacuum-evaporated until ∼5 mL of glyme remained. The product was precipitated from the concentrated glyme solution by the addition of diethyl ether (∼25 mL), then filtered, and washed with diethyl ether (3 × ∼20 mL). The remaining white solid was dried in vacuo for 18 h to remove all traces of glyme. Yield: 1.06 g (11.9 mmol, 84%), ^{11}B NMR (THF-d₈, ppm, J in Hz): -10.5 (t, J = 95, BH₂), -12.4 (t, J = 104, BH₂), -22.3 (q, J = 95, BH₃). DFT/GIAO-calculated [B3LYP/6-311G(d)]¹¹B NMR shifts: -12.8, -13.5, -21.0 ppm. ¹H{¹¹B} NMR $(CD_3CN$, ppm, J in Hz): 4.69 (br s, NH₃), 2.97 (br s, NH₂), 2.15 (br s, NH₂), 2.08 (m, BH₂), 1.97 (quin, J = 4, BH₂), 1.31 (t, J = 4, BH₃).

 $H_3B[(CH_3)NHBH_2]_2N(CH_3)H_2$ (2Me). CH_3NH_3Cl (0.26 g, 3.9 mmol) was added to a solution of 1Me (0.5 g, 3.9 mmol) in acetonitrile (∼20 mL) and the mixture stirred at 60 °C with careful monitoring by 11B NMR. After ∼6 h, 1Me had been consumed, with the ¹¹B NMR spectrum showing only 2Me resonances. In a nitrogenfilled glovebag, the solution was frit-filtered and the retained solid washed with acetonitrile $(2 \times \sim 20 \text{ mL})$. The solvent from the combined filtrates was evaporated on a high-vacuum line until crystallization was observed (∼24 h). The crystals were washed two times with cold ether and then dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.5 g (3.4 mmol, 88%), Anal. Calcd: C, 27.58; H, 15.43; N, 32.16. Found: C, 27.90; H, 15.71; N, 31.93%. ¹¹B NMR (THF- d_8 , ppm, J in Hz): -5.1 (t, J = 105, BH₂), -7.3 (t, $J = 108$, BH₂), -18.9 (q, $J = 91$, BH₃). DFT/GIAOcalculated $[B3LYP/6-311G(d)]$ ¹¹B NMR shifts: S,S isomer, -8.2, −9.2, −17.5 ppm; R,S isomer, −6.8, −8.6, −21.4 ppm. ¹ H{11B} NMR (THF- d_8 , ppm, J in Hz): 5.17 (br s, NH₂), 3.35 (br s, NH), 2.67 (br s, NH), 2.40 (t, J = 6, CH₃), 2.18 (d, J = 6, CH₃), 2.11 (d, J = 6, CH₃), 1.91 (m, $BH₂$), 1.80 (m, $BH₂$), 1.29 (d, J = 4, $BH₃$).

 $BH_3(NH_2BH_2)_2(NC_5H_5)$ (3). A solution of 1 (0.10 g, 1.1 mmol) in acetonitrile (15 mL) was cooled at −20 °C and then reacted with pyridine−HCl (0.12 g, 1.1 mmol). The solution immediately became cloudy. After stirring for 10 min, the solution was quickly filtered and the retained solid washed with cold acetonitrile. The solvent was vacuum-evaporated from the filtrate to leave the product as a white solid. Yield: 0.11 g (0.74 mmol, 68%). ¹¹B NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): -3.3 (t, $J = 107$, $BH₂$), -9.6 (t, $J = 102$, $BH₂$), -21.7 (q, $J = 90$, BH₃). DFT/GIAO-calculated $[B3LYP/6-311G(d)]$ ¹¹B NMR shifts: −5.4, −11.0, −21.5 ppm. ¹H{¹¹B} NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): 8.62 (d, J = 1.2, HCCH₂CH₂N−), 8.25 (m, HCCH₂CH₂N−), 7.77 (t, J = 7, HCCH₂CH₂N−), 3.15 (br s, NH₂), 2.87 (s, BH₂), 2.09 (s, NH₂), 1.79 (quin, $J = 4$, $BH₂$), 1.12 (t, $J = 5$, $BH₃$).

 $H_3B[(CH_3)NHBH_2]_2(NC_5H_5)$ (3Me). A solution of 1Me (0.10 g, 0.78 mmol) in acetonitrile (15 mL) was cooled at −20 °C and then treated with pyridine−HCl (0.92 g, 0.78 mmol). The solution immediately became cloudy. After stirring for 10 min, acetonitrile was vacuum-evaporated and fluorobenzene (∼10 mL) was then vacuum-transferred to the flask. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and quickly filtered and the retained solid washed with cold fluorobenzene. The solvent was vacuum-evaporated from the filtrate to leave the product as a white solid. Yield: 0.13 g (0.68 mmol , 87%). ¹¹B NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): -0.4 (t, J = 109, BH₂), -4.0 (t, $J = 105$, $BH₂$), -18.2 (q, $J = 90$, $BH₃$). DFT/GIAO-calculated [B3LYP/6-311G(d)] 11B NMR shifts: S,R isomer, −1.3, −6.9, −17.7 ppm; S,S isomer, -0.6, -7.5, -23.2 ppm. ¹H{¹¹B} NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): 8.64 (d, J = 5, HCCH₂CH₂N−), 8.24 (m, $HCCH_2CH_2N-$), 7.77 (m, $HCCH_2CH_2N-$), 3.45 (s, NH), 2.87/ 2.75 (s, BH₂, diastereomers), 2.34 (m, NH), 2.20 (d, J 6, CH₃), 2.01 (d, $J = 6$, CH₃), 1.57/1.24 (s, BH₂, diastereomers), 1.12 (s, BH₃).

Attempted Thermal Cyclization of 2 and 2Me. A suspension of 2 (0.04 g, 0.4 mmol) in fluorobenzene (∼10 mL) was heated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h while being monitored by 11 B NMR. The solvent was vacuum-evaporated and the residue taken up in ether (∼10 mL) and filtered. The ether was vacuum-evaporated, leaving 12 mg of a white solid, which ¹¹B and ¹H NMR analyses identified as a ~1:1 mixture of AB and cyclotriborazane (CTB).⁸

A solution of 2Me (0.02 g, 0.2 mmol) in fluorobenzene (∼10 mL) [w](#page-6-0)as heated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 12 h while being monitored by 11 B NMR. Fluorobenzene was vacuum-evaporated and the remaining white solid redissolved in THF- d_8 . Analysis by ¹¹B and ¹H NMR identified this solid as a ∼3:1 mixture of methylamine borane and tri-Nmethylcyclotriborazane. $4b,c$

Chlorination of 2. A solution of 2 (0.05 g, 0.6 mmol) in glyme (∼10 mL) was cooled [at](#page-6-0) −30 °C, while a solution of HCl−etherate (0.6 mmol) was added vi[a](#page-6-0) [s](#page-6-0)yringe. After the solution was stirred for 15 min at -30 °C, ^{11}B NMR analysis indicated formation of the monochlorinated product 2Cl. Attempts to isolate the product by solvent vacuum evaporation resulted in product decomposition. ¹¹B NMR (glyme, ppm, J in Hz): -6.6 (d, J = 98, BH₂), -10.9 (t, J = 101, BH₂), -12.1 (t, $J = 123$, BH₂). DFT/GIAO-calculated [B3LYP/6-311G(d)] ¹¹B NMR shifts for 1-ClB₃N₃H₁₁: -6.1, -10.6, -11.4 ppm.

In a separate reaction, after 1 equiv of HCl−etherate (0.6 mmol) had been added to a sample of 2 (0.05 g, 0.6 mmol) in glyme at -30 °C, a second 1 equiv of HCl−etherate (0.6 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for an additional 15 min at −30 °C. 11B NMR analysis then indicated formation of a dichlorinated product, but attempts to isolate the product by solvent vacuum evaporation resulted in product decomposition. ¹¹B NMR (CD₃CN, ppm, J in Hz): -1.6 (d, J = 129, BH), -7.5 (t, $J = 113$, BH₂), -12.2 (t, $J = 96$, BH₂). The DFT/GIAOcalculated ¹¹B NMR shifts of the three possible isomers of $Cl_2B_3N_3H_{12}$ are given in Figure S5 in the Supporting Information (SI).

Computational Studies. DFT/GIAO/NMR calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program.⁹ Geometry optimizations were carried out at the B[3LYP/6-311G\(d\) level.](#page-6-0) All ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the B3L[Y](#page-6-0)P/6-311G(d) level using the GIAO option in Gaussian 09 and are referenced to BF_3 -OEt₂ using an absolute shielding constant of 102.2 ppm. Frequency analyses were carried out to ensure that each minimized structure was a true minimum with no imaginary frequencies.

Collection and Reduction of Crystallographic Data. X-rayquality crystals of 2Me formed as the material was under high vacuum. Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are summarized in Table 1. The X-ray intensity data were collected on a Bruker APEXII CCD area detector employing graphite-monochromatized Mo K α radiation. Rotation frames were integrated using SAINT,¹⁰ producing a [lis](#page-2-0)t of unaveraged F and $\sigma(F^2)$ values that were
then passed to the SHELXTL¹¹ program package for further process[ing](#page-6-0) and structure solution on a Dell Pentium 4 computer. The intensity data were corrected [fo](#page-6-0)r Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using SADABS.¹²

Table 1. Crystallographic Data

 ${}^{a}R_{1} = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|| / \sum |F_{o}|; wR_{2} = {\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}} / \sum w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}}^{1/2}.$
 ${}^{b}GOF = {\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}} / (n - p)}^{1/2},$ where $n =$ number of reflections and $p =$ number of parameters refined.

Solution and Refinement of the Structures. The structures were solved by direct methods ($SHELXS-97^{13}$), and refinement was by full-matrix least squares based on F^2 also using SHELXL-97. All reflections were used during the refineme[nt](#page-6-0) (values of $F²$ that were experimentally negative were replaced with $F^2 = 0$). All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, and hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We recently demonstrated 14 that strong bases, such as bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (Proton Sponge), can promote ammonia borane hy[dro](#page-6-0)gen release through anionic dehydropolymerization reactions. We proposed^{5,14} that these reactions involve initial deprotonation to form BH_3NH_2 ⁻, followed by reaction of this anion with another [AB t](#page-6-0)o form the $BH_3NH_2BH_3^-$ anion. This new anion can then react with successive equivalents of AB with H_2 elimination to produce H_3 BN H_2 B H_2 (N H_2 B H_3)_x⁻ chains. Reaction of only 3 equiv of AB with VB (3 days at room temperature) allowed isolation (74% yield) and structural characterization of the [VBH⁺] salt of the $\left[\text{BH}_3\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_2\text{NH}_2\text{BH}_3^-\right]$ anion (1). It was further shown that 1 could be converted to both seven-membered linear and chain-branched anionic oligomers by reaction with an additional AB equivalent, thus providing strong evidence for the proposed anionic chain growth mechanism.⁵

We now report that 1 can be produced in both higher yields and larger scales with faster reaction times wh[en](#page-6-0) NaHMDS is used as the base (Scheme 1). In a typical preparation, the reaction of 3 equiv of AB (33.0 mmol) with 1 equiv of NaHMDS (11.0 mol) in a fluorobenzene solution for 24 h at 50 °C gave a 96% isolated yield (10.8 mol) of salt 1. The salt was largely insoluble in fluorobenzene and could be completely

Scheme 1. Syntheses of 1, 1Me, and 1Bz

precipitated from the reaction solution by the addition of toluene. 1 was isolated as an air-stable solid that was very soluble in glyme, N,N-dimethylformamide, and water and slightly soluble in acetonitrile and THF. Both the ¹¹B and ¹H NMR spectra of 1 were consistent with the previously reported values.

VB proved to be inactive for inducing N-alkylated amine boran[e](#page-6-0) oligomerizations, but the reactions of methylamine borane and benzylamine borane with $\frac{1}{3}$ equiv of NaHMDS readily produced the 1Me and 1Bz (Scheme 1; $R = CH_3$, Bz) five-membered chains. 1Me was obtained in an excellent 96% isolated yield, but 1Bz was obtained in a much lower 49% yield owing to the formation of a side product, exhibiting a downfield (∼28 ppm) doublet resonance in its 11B NMR spectrum characteristic of $(R_2N)_2BH$ -type compounds.^{15,16} Reaction of $(CH₃)₂NHBH₃$ with NaHMDS produced no oligomers, but instead gave a single product, again exhibiti[ng a](#page-7-0) doublet $\rm{^{11}B}$ NMR resonance near 28 ppm consistent with $(Me_2N)_2BH$ formation.^{15,16}

1Me and 1Bz are chiral compounds and are synthesized as mixtures [of dia](#page-7-0)stereomers. The DFT-optimized structures of 1 and the two possible diastereomers of 1Me are shown in Figure 1, and their ¹¹B and ¹H NMR spectra are compared in Figures 2 and 3.

As reported, 5 the ^{11}B ^{11}B ^{11}B NMR spectrum (Figure 2a) of 1 [co](#page-3-0)ns[is](#page-3-0)ts of a triplet at −8.8 ppm and a quartet at −22.3 ppm in a 1:2 ratio. The [s](#page-6-0)pectra of 1Me (Figure 2b) and 1Bz [\(F](#page-3-0)igure S1 in the SI) are similar, but, as predicted by the DFT/GIAO chemical shift calculations, with peaks s[hi](#page-3-0)fted slightly downfield relative [to](#page-6-0) 1 as a result of the N-alkylation. The internal $BH₂$ protons of 1 appear as a single pentet at 1.78 ppm in its $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, but because of 1Me and 1Bz both being diastereotopic mixtures, their internal $BH₂$ protons give rise to two peaks, at 1.62 and 1.35 ppm for 1Me and at 1.85 and 1.77 ppm for 1Bz (Figures 3 and S1 in the SI). Unlike the $BH₂$ protons, the $CH₃$ protons of 1Me do not show diastereomeric differences but appear a[s](#page-3-0) a single doublet [\(sp](#page-6-0)lit by the adjacent N−H) at 2.11 ppm.

While the cyclic dehydrotrimer of AB (CTB) has been known for decades, 17 no linear triborazanes have heretofore been reported. Nevertheless, we found that the parent triborazane 2 and [its](#page-7-0) methyl derivative 2Me were readily produced (Scheme 2) in 84 and 88% isolated yields by the

Figure 1. DFT-optimized [B3LYP/6-311G(d)] geometries of 1 and the $R, R/S, S$ and S, R isomers of 1Me..

Figure 2. Comparisons of the ${}^{11}B$ NMR spectra of (a) 1, (b) 1Me, (c) 2, (d) 2Me, (e) 3, and (f) 3Me.

glyme solution reactions of 1 and 1Me with $NH₄Cl$ and CH₃NH₃Cl, respectively.

2 was soluble and stable in glyme and water at room temperature and slightly soluble in THF and acetonitrile. However, at even mildly elevated temperatures, 2 decomposed in ethereal solvents to give ¹¹B NMR spectra characteristic of trigonal B−O compounds (singlets, \sim 18−20 ppm).¹⁵ For the reaction of 1 Me with CH_3NH_3Cl , it was necessary to carefully monitor t[he](#page-7-0) reaction by ${}^{11}B$ NMR to determine the point at

Figure 3. Comparison of the ${}^{1}\mathrm{H} \{ {}^{11}\mathrm{B} \}$ NMR spectra of 1 (top) and **1Me** (bottom). $y =$ residual protons in THF- d_8 .

which the starting material was consumed (∼5−6 h) so that workup could be immediately undertaken. When 2Me was allowed to remain in solution for longer periods, decomposition resulted to form a mixture of products that included methyldiborazane $(BH_3NH(CH_3)BH_2N(CH_3)H_2)^4$ and methylamine borane.

Similar reactions of the sodium borazane salts with other Lewis base hydrochlorides afforded base-capped borazane chains. For example, when pyridine−HCl was reacted with 1 and 1Me in cold acetonitrile, H_2 and NaCl were quickly eliminated to form the pyridine-substituted derivatives 3 and 3Me (Scheme 3). Similar capped aminoborane oligomers (L− H_2 BN H_2 B H_3) have been recently reported as the product of the reactions o[f](#page-4-0) Lewis bases with μ -aminodiborane.¹⁸

The DFT-optimized structures of 2 and 3 and the two possible diastereomers of both 2Me and 3Me ar[e s](#page-7-0)hown in Figures 4 and S2 in the SI. In agreement with their proposed linear structures, the 11B NMR spectra (Figure 2c−f) of all of these c[om](#page-4-0)pounds displa[yed](#page-6-0) two low-field triplets and a highfield quartet in 1:1:1 ratios with the resonances of 2Me and 3Me again shifted downfield, as predicted by the DFT/GIAO

Figure 4. DFT-optimized [B3LYP/6-311G(d)] geometries of 2 and the S,S/R,R and S,R isomers of 2Me.

chemical shift calculations, compared to those of 2 and 3. The comparison of the $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 3 and 3Me in Figure 5 shows that the two sets of internal $BH₂$ resonances observed in 3 (at 2.87 and 1.79 ppm) became four resonances in the spectrum of 3Me, owing to its synthesis as a diastereomeric mixture. However, despite the fact that 2Me should also be a diastereomeric mixture, the expected two sets of $BH₂$ resonances were not resolved and only three $CH₃$ resonances were evident in its $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Figure S2 in the SI).

The proposed linear triborazane structure for 2Me was confirmed by the crystallographic determination depicted i[n t](#page-6-0)he ORTEP diagram in Figure 6. As discussed earlier, 2Me is formed as a mixture of diastereomers with the structure in Figure 6 corresponding to the calculated R,S isomer shown in

Figure 5. Comparison of the ${}^{1}\mathrm{H} \{ {}^{11}\mathrm{B} \}$ NMR spectra of 3 (top) and **3Me** (bottom). $* =$ residual H₂O; x = residual protons in CD₃CN.

Figure 6. Crystallographically determined structure of $2Me$ (R, S) . Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): B1−N2, 1.5838(13); C7− N2, 1.4820(13); N2−B3, 1.5819(13); B3−N4, 1.5766(13); C8−N4, 1.4845(12); N4−B5, 1.5749(13); B5−N6, 1.5898(14); N6−C9, 1.4820(13); B1−N2−C7, 111.58(8); B1−N2−B3, 115.65(7); C7− N2−B3, 108.45(8); N2−B3−N4, 111.06(7); B3−N4−C8, 112.24(8); C8−N4−B5, 108.90(7); B3−N4−B5, 112.68(7); N4−B5−N6, 108.41(7); B5−N6−C9, 113.43(8).

Figure 4. The N−B−N, B−N−B, and C−N−B angles (108− 115°) are all consistent with sp³ hybridization. In contrast to the structures of 1^5 and $H_3B\dot{N}H_2\dot{B}H_2NH_3$,³ where the B–N distances were greater at the terminal boron atoms (∼1.59 Å) than at the internal boron atoms (\sim 1.57 Å)[,](#page-6-0) the B–N lengths in 2Me are reasonably homogeneous, averaging 1.581 Å. This value is similar to the B−N length in methylamine borane [1.587(3) Å]¹⁹ but longer than the 1.549 Å average B-N bond length reported for the methyldiborazane $H_3BN(CH_3)HBH_2N \rm (CH_3)H_2^{\bullet,4}$

Intermolecular dihydrogen bonding between hydridic B−H $(δ[−])$ and [p](#page-6-0)rotonic N–H $(δ⁺)$ hydrogen atoms has been shown to be important in many boron−nitrogen−hydrogen compounds, as characterized by B−H---H−N dihydrogen-bonding distances of ∼1.7−2.2 Å and with H---H−N angles of 117− 171°, usually larger than the B−H---H angles, 95−120°. 4,5,20 The ORTEP plot presented in Figure 7 shows the head-to-tail orientation of two chains that is adopted by $2\text{Me }(R,S)$ i[n t](#page-6-0)[he](#page-7-0) solid state, with B1−H hydrogen atom[s](#page-5-0) on each chain directed toward the N6−H or N4−H hydrogen atoms on the adjacent chain. The most significant interactions are between the N6− H6b and both B1−H1a and B1−H1c hydrogen atoms, with the H6b---H1a and H6b---H1c distances $[2.06(2)$ and $2.20(2)$ Å] and the N6−H6b---H1a [151.7(11)°] and N6−H6b---H1c $[150.5(11)^\circ]$ angles, as well as the H6b---H1a–B1 $[97.7(7)^\circ]$ and H6b---H1c−Ba [90.9(8)°] angles, falling in the ranges indicated above for B−H---H−N bonding.²⁰

Figure 7. ORTEP drawing showing interchain dihydrogen interactions in $2Me$ (R, S) . Selected distances (A) and angles (deg) : H6b---H1a, 2.06(2); H6b---H1c, 2.20(2); H4---H1a, 2.49(2); H4---H1b, 2.41(2); N6−H6b---H1a, 151.7(11); H6b---H1a−B1, 97.7(7); N6−H6b---H1c, 150.5(11); H6b---H1c−B1, 90.8(8); N4−H4---H1a, 142.3(9); H4--- H1a−B1, 98.0(7); N4−H4---H1b; 144.4(9); H4---H1b−B1, 102.7(7).

Despite the fact that the enthalpy of dehydrogenative cyclization of 2 to CTB was calculated to be −12.2 kcal/ $mol₁²¹$ 2 is surprisingly thermally stable. The TGA measurements shown in Figure 8 showed that in the solid state 2

Figure 8. TGA (left axis, blue $-$) and DSC (right axis, red $-$) analyses of 2. Measurements were carried out on 2.32 mg of 2 under nitrogen with a ramp rate of 10 °C/min.

undergoes a two-step decomposition with an initial ∼30% weight loss beginning at ∼110 °C, followed by a second ∼30% loss beginning at ∼142 °C. Decomposition is complete by 200 °C, with an overall char yield of 39%. A complete conversion to boron nitride would correspond to an 84.2% char; thus, decomposition must involve the loss of molecular fragments larger than H_2 . The apparent endothermic peak in the DSC at 135 °C and the overlapping exothermic process peaking at ∼160 °C most probably arise from a combination of 2 decomposition and decomposition-product evaporation/sublimation that is accompanying the initial weight loss. The second overlapping endothermic process near 170 °C could then result from further product evaporation/sublimation associated with the second weight loss event. The TGA of 2 is similar to that of AB, which showed an initial weight loss beginning at 110 °C, followed by a second loss with onset at 130 °C, and a final char yield of ~45%.²² The thermal behavior of 2 is notably different from that observed for CTB, which decomposes via three exothermic step[s b](#page-7-0)etween 150 and 220 $^{\circ}$ C.²³ This difference suggests that 2 does not undergo cyclization to CTB during its solid-state pyrolysis, which is in agr[ee](#page-7-0)ment with recent computational work that predicted a high activation energy of cyclization $(49.4 \text{ kcal/mol})^{24}$

In noncoordinating solvents, solutions of 2 heated to 80 °C also showed no trace of cyclization. After the solut[ion](#page-7-0)s were held at 100 °C for 12 h in fluorobenzene, ^{11}B NMR analysis showed the formation of equivalent small amounts of CTB and AB but a larger amount of a white solid that, when dissolved in DMSO, was shown by ${}^{11}B$ NMR to contain 2, oligomerized AB, and borohydride anion. Heating 2Me at 100 °C in fluorobenzene similarly resulted in the formation of a ∼3:1 mixture of methylamine borane and N-methylcyclotriborazane (Scheme 4). ^{4b,c}

Scheme 4. [Chl](#page-6-0)orination Reactions of 2

Recently, Shore et al. reported the synthesis of $NH₃BH₂Cl$ via the treatment of AB with anhydrous HCl at −40 °C. He then showed that the reaction of $NH₃BH₂Cl$ with sodium amide produced CTB.²⁵

The reaction of 2 with 1 equiv of HCl in glyme at -30 °C yielded a product $(2Cl)$ $(2Cl)$ $(2Cl)$ that exhibited three triplets in its ^{11}B NMR spectrum (Figure 9b), with the disappearance of the quartet in the spectrum of 2 and the emergence of a new triplet at −6.6 ppm consistent [wit](#page-6-0)h terminal-boron chlorination. The DFT/GIAO-calculated shifts also strongly support the formation of a 1-ClBH₂(NH₂BH₂)₂NH₃ product. When a second 1 equiv of HCl was added to a glyme solution containing 2Cl at −30 °C, 11B NMR showed the disappearance (Figure 9c) of the higher field triplet resonance and the emergence of a new doublet at −1.6 ppm with the new doublet−[tr](#page-6-0)iplet−triplet pattern (Figure S4b in the SI) possible for any of the 1,1-, 1,3-, and $1,5\text{-}Cl_2B_3N_3H_{12}$ dichlorinated products. A comparison of the experimentally ob[ser](#page-6-0)ved shifts for these resonances with the DFT/GIAO-calculated ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts for each isomer (Figure S5 in the SI) ruled out formation of the 1,1-dichlorinated product, but the predicted shifts for the 1,3 and 1,5 derivatives are nearly c[oin](#page-6-0)cident and thus either product is possible. Reactions with additional

Figure 9. $^{11}{\rm B} \{ ^1{\rm H} \}$ NMR spectra of (a) 2, (b) 2 after reaction with 1 equiv of HCl, and (c) 2 after reaction with 2 equiv of HCl. The ¹Hcoupled ¹¹B NMR spectra corresponding to parts b and c are given in Figure S4 in the SI.

equivalents of HCl led to the formation of complex mixtures of polychlorinated derivatives. Unfortunately, these products could not be further characterized because they rapidly decomposed when their solutions were concentrated or warmed to room temperature.

Chlorination of the terminal boron in 2Cl might be expected to facilitate its cyclization via the elimination of HCl. However, when allowed to come to room temperature, 2Cl decomposed not to CTB but rather to a white insoluble material, suggesting intermolecular HCl elimination to form longer insoluble aminoborane oligomers. Likewise, as opposed to the cyclization observed in the treatment of $NH₃BH₂Cl$ with sodium amide,²⁵ the treatment of 2Cl with sodium amide led to chain fragmentation.

In summary, the improved synthetic method reported herein employing the reactions of NaHMDS with amine boranes now provides an efficient high-yield method for the syntheses of the sodium salts of the anionic five-membered aminoborane chains $[\text{BH}_3\text{N(R)}\text{HBH}_2\text{N(R)}\text{HBH}_3^-]$, where R = H, Me, and Bz. The reactions of the $R = H$ and Me anions with ammonium chlorides also provide high-yield routes to the previously unknown linear triborazanes 2 and 2Me, as well as the corresponding linear pyridine-capped chains 3 and 3Me. The isolation and ready availability of these new linear aminoborane chains will undoubtedly make them useful as new starting materials and/or intermediate models for the numerous ongoing studies into the growth of aminoborane polymers via the dehydropolymerization reactions of amine boranes.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format, figures of DFToptimized geometries, tables of the coordinates and energies of all DFT-optimized structures, the $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2Me, the ¹H and ¹¹B NMR spectra of **1Bz**, and the proton-coupled ¹¹B NMR spectra for Figure 9. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ AUTHOR INFORM[ATION](http://pubs.acs.org)

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: lsneddon@sas.upenn.edu.

Notes

The auth[ors declare no competing](mailto:lsneddon@sas.upenn.edu) financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the U.S. Department of Energy and the National Science Foundation for their support of this project. We also thank the National Science Foundation for an instrumentation grant (Grant CHE-0840438) used for the purchase of the X-ray diffractometer employed in these studies and Dr. Andrew McGhie for his assistance with thermal analyses.

■ REFERENCES

(1) For some reviews, see: (a) Stephens, F. H.; Pons, V.; Baker, R. T. Dalton Trans. 2007, 2613−2626. (b) Hamilton, C. W.; Baker, R. T.; Staubitz, A.; Manners, I. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 279−293. (c) Staubitz, A.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Manners, I. Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, 4079−4124. (d) Bowden, M.; Autrey, T. Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. 2011, 15, 73−79.

(2) For example, see: (a) Staubitz, A.; Sloan, M. E.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Friedrich, A.; Schneider, S.; Gates, P. J.; Schmedt auf der Gunne, J.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13332−3345. (b) Baker, R. T.; Gordon, J. C.; Hamilton, C. W.; Henson, N. J.; Lin, P.-H.; Maguire, S.; Murugesu, M.; Scott, B. L.; Smythe, N. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5598−5609. (c) Vance, J. R.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Lee, K.; Manners, I. Chem.—Eur. J. 2011, 17, 4099–4103. (d) Robertson, A. P. M.; Suter, R.; Chabanne, L.; Whittell, G. R.; Manners, I. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 12680−12691. (e) Pons, V.; Baker, R. T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9600−9602. (f) Staubitz, A.; Soto, A. P.; Manners, I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6212−6215.

(3) Chen, X.; Zhao, J.-C.; Shore, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10658−10659.

(4) (a) Nöth, H.; Thomas, S. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 1373−1379. (b) Jaska, C. A.; Temple, K.; Lough, A. J.; Manners, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9424−9434. (c) Johnson, H. C.; Robertson, A. P. M.; Chaplin, A. B.; Sewell, L. J.; Thompson, A. L.; Haddow, M. F.; Manners, I.; Weller, A. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11076−11079. (5) Ewing, W. C.; Marchione, A.; Himmelberger, D. W.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 17093−17099.

(6) 2,8,9-Triisobutyl-2,5,8,9-tetraaza-1-phosphabicyclo[3.3.3]undecane.

(7) Shriver, D. F.; Drezdzon, M. A. Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1986.

(8) (a) Shaw, W. J.; Linehan, J. C.; Szymczak, N. K.; Heldebrant, D. J.; Yonker, C.; Camaioni, D. M.; Baker, R. T.; Autrey, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7493−7496. (b) Wang, J. S.; Geanangel, R. A. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1988, 148, 185−190.

(9) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian 09, revision A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, 2009.

(10) SAINT, version 7.68A; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2009.

- (11) SHELXTL, version 6.14; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2003.
- (12) SADABS, version 2008/1; Bruker AXS Inc.: Madison, WI, 2008.
- (13) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112−122.

(14) (a) Himmelberger, D. W.; Yoon, C. W.; Bluhm, M. E.; Carroll, P. J.; Sneddon, L. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14101-14110.

(b) Himmelberger, D. W.; Bluhm, M. E.; Sneddon, L. G. Prepr. Symp. (Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Fuel Chem.) 2008, 53, 666−667.

Inorganic Chemistry Article

(15) Nöth, H.; Wrackmeyer, B. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy of Boron Compounds; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1978; and references cited therein.

(16) Nöth, H.; Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1966, 99, 1049−1067.

(17) (a) Dahl, G. H.; Schaeffer, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 3032− 3034. (b) Shore, S. G.; Hickam, C. W. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 2, 638−640.

(18) Malcolm, A. C.; Sabourin, K. J.; McDonald, R.; Ferguson, M. J.; Rivard, E. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 12905−12916.

(19) Bowden, M. E.; Brown, I. W. M.; Gainsford, G. J.; Wong, H. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2008, 361, 2147−2153.

(20) (a) Richardson, T. B.; de Gala, S.; Crabtree, R. H.; Siegbahn, P. E. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 12875−12876. (b) Crabtree, R. H.; Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Eisenstein, O.; Rheingold, A. L.; Koetzle, T. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1996, 29, 348−354. (c) Klooster, W. T.; Koetzle, T. F.; Siegbahn, P. E. M.; Richardson, T. B.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6337−6343. (d) Chen, X.; Gallucci, J.; Campana, C.; Huang, Z.; Lingam, H. K.; Shore, S. G.; Zhao, J.-C. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 7943−7945.

(21) Matus, M. H.; Anderson, K. D.; Camaioni, D. M.; Autrey, S. T.; Dixon, D. A. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 4411−4421.

(22) Frueh, S.; Kellett, R.; Mallery, C.; Molter, T.; Willis, W. S.; King'ondu, C.; Suib, S. L. Inorg. Chem. 2011, 50, 783−792.

(23) Schellenberg, R.; Kriehme, J.; Wolf, G. Thermochim. Acta 2007, 457, 103−108.

(24) Li, J.; Kathmann, S. M.; Hu, H.-S.; Schenter, G. K.; Autrey, T.; Gutowski, M. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 7710−7720.

(25) Lingam, H. K.; Wang, C.; Gallucci, J. C.; Chen, X.; Shore, S. G. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 13430−13436.